

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,027	10/27/2000	Jonathan L. Sessler	4201.01 US	6781
32270	7590 11/12/2	02		
VINIT G. K	ATHARDEKAR	EXAMINER		
995 E. ARQL	CLICS, INC. JES AVENUE		LUKTON, DAVID	, DAVID
SUNNYVAL	E, CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	(1
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	\mathcal{F}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No. 09/699,027

Applicant(s)

Sessier

Office Action Summary

xammer David Lukton

Art Unit **1653**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 30, 20				
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-19 and 25-27</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				
8) 🗶	Claims <u>20-24</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 				
14)					
a) □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority drieds do didici. 33 120 dilajor 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Serial No. 09/699,027 Art Unit 1653

Applicants' election of Group 6 (claims 20-24) with traverse is acknowledged. In accordance therewith, claims 1-19 and 25-27 are withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants have argued that Groups 2-5 and 7 should be rejoined with Group 6 because once the Group 6 method has been fully searched, no additional searching would be required for any of the remaining Groups (of Groups 2-5 and 7). However, this is not necessarily Group 6 is distinguished from any of 2-5, 7 in that Group 6 is drawn to a method for true. inducing oxidative stress, rather than to a method of treating a disease or killing a tumor cell. Group 6 is also distinguished because it requires the administration of a "cellular metabolite", which is not required by the other groups. Unlike Group 2, Group 6 does not exclude Group 6 is distinguished from Group 3 in part because Group 3 requires an texaphyrins. Group 6 is also distinguished because it assay method, which is not required of Group 6. does not actually require killing of tumor cells. A physician might want to induce "targetted oxidative stress" in tissues bearing viruses, bacteria, or parasites, for example. However, in the event that claims within Group 6 are found allowable without significant (further) limitation, the possibility of rejoining one or more of the non-elected groups will be considered.

The restriction is maintained at the present time.

Serial No. 09/699,027 Art Unit 1653

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect species (as follows) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- (a) an agent that accumulates in neoplastic tissue in accordance with claim 20, step (a);
- (b) a cellular metabolite such as ascorbate, NADPH, FADH₂ or reduced glutathione in accordance with claim 20, step (a);
- (c) a "cellular metabolite" in accordance with claim 20, step (c); this can be this same as the "cellular metabolite" elected for step (a);
- (d) (i) ionizing radiation is required, or (ii) ionizing radiation is not required.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention. Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 703-308-3213. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached at (703) 308-2923. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

DAVID LUKTON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800